NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

2d Crim. No. B236420 (Super. Ct. No. GA074192) (Los Angeles County)

v.

MICHAEL KARL McNEIL,

Defendant and Appellant.

Michael Karl McNeil appeals from post-judgment orders denying his motion to return property (September 1, 2011 order) and request for certificate of probable cause (September 16, 2011 order). (Pen. Code, § 1237.5.)¹ Pursuant to a negotiated plea, appellant entered a no contest plea to six criminal threats counts (§ 422) and three counts of attempted criminal threats (§§ 664/422), and admitted suffering a Three Strikes prior strike conviction (Pen. Code, §§ 667, subds. (b) – (i); 1170.12, subds. (a) – (d)) and a serious felony conviction (§ 667, subd. (a)). The trial court sentenced appellant to 19 years 8 months state prison and ordered appellant to pay a \$200 restitution fine (§ 1202.4, subd. (b)), a \$200 parole revocation fine (§ 12022.45), and a \$40 court security assessment (§ 1465.8, subd. (a)(1)) and a \$30 criminal conviction assessment (Gov. Code, § 70373) on each count.

On July 27, 2011, appellant filed a motion for return of property. The trial court conducted a hearing, and on September 1, 2011, ordered the return of appellant's

¹ Unless otherwise stated, all statutory references are to the Penal Code.

computer equipment but denied the motion to return miscellaneous documents, forged forestry department and law enforcement patches, a bullet-proof vest, U.S. Forestry Service citation books, and certain items in a notebook.

On September 16, 2011, the trial court denied appellant's request for certificate of probable cause (§ 1237.5).

Appellant filed a notice of appeal on September 26, 2011.

We appointed counsel to represent appellant in this appeal. After counsel's examination of the record, he filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised.

On February 7, 2012, we advised appellant that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished us to consider. On February 15, 2012, appellant submitted a supplemental brief contending, among other things, that his 6th Amendment rights were violated at a preliminary hearing, that he was denied effective assistance of counsel, and the trial court committed sentencing errors. None of these contentions are supported by the record. (*Strickland v. Washington* (1984) 466 U.S. 668, 687 [80 L.Ed.2d. 674, 693]; *People v. Bolin* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 297, 333.)

We have reviewed the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 443; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 126.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

YEGAN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P.J.

PERREN, J.

Laura F. Priver, Judge

Superior Court County of Los Angeles

Christopher Nalls, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Respondent.